Cultivators and Herders: Lifeways in Ottoman Afrin (Kurd-Dagh), c. 1516-1918

1. Introduction: Ottoman Afrin (Kurd-Dagh) - Land, People, and Lifeways

1.1. Geographical Context

The region historically known as Kurd-Dagh (Mountain of the Kurds, or *Çiyayê Kurmênc* in the local Kurdish dialect) occupies the northwestern corner of modern Syria and extends into southeastern Turkey.[1] During the Ottoman era (c. 1516-1918), this area formed part of the Vilayet of Aleppo.[3] Geographically, Kurd-Dagh is characterized by a highland landscape, part of the Limestone Massif, featuring rolling hills and fertile valleys, most notably the plain of Cûmê flanking the Afrin River.[1] This river valley defines the region's eastern and southern boundaries, separating it from the Aʿzāz plain, Mount Simeon, and Mount Harim.[1]
The terrain and climate, marked by sufficient winter rainfall, rendered the region exceptionally suitable for agriculture, particularly for the cultivation of olives, which became its defining economic feature.[1] Simultaneously, the hills and surrounding areas provided pasturelands conducive to animal husbandry.[1] The very name "Kurd-Dagh," used for centuries in local parlance and appearing in Ottoman administrative documents (sometimes designating the area as the "Sancak of the Kurds"), underscores its long and deep association with Kurdish populations.[1] This historical identity, rooted in the land and its people, shaped the lifeways that unfolded under Ottoman administration.

1.2. The Core Question: Settled vs. Nomadic Lifeways

This report seeks to reconstruct the predominant lifeways within the Kurd-Dagh region during the Ottoman period. The central focus is to understand the relative importance and prevalence of settled agriculture compared to pastoral nomadism among the inhabitants (User Query). A key objective is to estimate, where possible, the proportion of the population engaged in each lifestyle. However, achieving precise quantification presents significant challenges. Ottoman administrative records, particularly censuses conducted before the late 19th century, were primarily designed for taxation and military conscription purposes, enumerating household heads (usually male) or potential soldiers rather than systematically recording occupations or lifestyles.[10] Consequently, determining exact percentages requires careful synthesis of qualitative evidence and reasoned estimation based on the available historical and geographical context.

1.3. Approach and Sources

The analysis will proceed by examining the primary economic activities – settled agriculture, with a focus on olive cultivation, and pastoral nomadism – within the context of Ottoman governance, land tenure systems, and taxation policies specific to the Aleppo Vilayet and the Kurd-Dagh region. Social structures, village life, and cultural traditions will also be explored to provide a more holistic picture of daily existence. Finally, the available evidence, both qualitative and quantitative (with noted limitations), will be weighed to arrive at an informed estimation of the balance between settled and nomadic lifeways. The reconstruction relies on a synthesis of secondary scholarly analyses of Ottoman rural society, relevant historical accounts, ethnographic information on traditional practices, and inferences drawn from administrative and economic patterns described in historical sources.

2. The Agrarian Heartbeat: Settled Life, Olives, and Village Society

2.1. The Primacy of Settled Agriculture

The geographical characteristics of Kurd-Dagh, combined with historical evidence, strongly suggest that settled agriculture formed the bedrock of the regional economy throughout the Ottoman period. The fertile plains and hillsides were well-suited for cultivation.[1] This aligns with the broader reality of the Ottoman Empire as a fundamentally agrarian state, where the vast majority of the population derived their livelihood from cultivating small family holdings.[13] These holdings were, in theory, often organized under the *çift-hane* system, which linked a peasant family's right to cultivate a plot of state-owned land (*miri*) to their tax obligations.[13] While this idealized system underwent significant modifications over time, particularly with the rise of tax farming (*iltizam*) and the decline of older land grant systems like the *timar*, the foundation of the rural economy remained agricultural production by settled peasant communities.[14]

2.2. The Olive: An Ancient Legacy and Economic Engine

The most defining feature of Kurd-Dagh's agricultural landscape was the olive tree. Archaeological and historical sources suggest that olive cultivation in the region has ancient roots, possibly extending back over 4,000 years.[2] This practice continued uninterrupted and remained a dominant factor throughout the Ottoman era and into the modern period.[2] Olive groves represented not just a source of subsistence but a major economic engine. The production of high-quality olives and olive oil was a primary source of income and livelihood for a large segment of the population.[6]

The economic significance extended beyond local consumption. Afrin's olive oil was renowned throughout the Levant and constituted a major commodity traded in the bustling markets of Aleppo, the provincial capital.[2] This trade forged a critical link between the rural economy of Kurd-Dagh and the urban commercial networks of the empire. The oil was also a key ingredient in famous regional products like Aleppo soap.[2] Modern estimates, suggesting the presence of millions of olive trees in the region, some of remarkable antiquity, point towards

the intensity and long-term investment inherent in this agricultural focus.[5] While these specific figures pertain to periods after the Ottoman era, they reflect a deeply embedded agricultural tradition requiring sustained settlement, stable land use patterns (even within the complexities of Ottoman tenure), and generational knowledge transfer. Such extensive and long-term investment in arboriculture is fundamentally characteristic of a predominantly settled society, making it less probable that large-scale, independent pastoral nomadism constituted the primary lifeway for the majority.

2.3. Other Agricultural Activities

While olives dominated the commercial agricultural landscape, the rural economy of Kurd-Dagh undoubtedly included other activities typical of Ottoman peasant life. Mixed farming for household self-provisioning (*munah*) and local markets was common.[13] This likely involved the cultivation of staple grains such as wheat and barley, legumes like lentils, as well as various fruits and vegetables suited to the local climate.[13] Evidence from the nearby region of Aintab, also within the Aleppo Vilayet, indicates the presence of viticulture (grape cultivation) alongside grain production in the 17th century, suggesting similar diversification might have existed in parts of Kurd-Dagh.[23] Rearing animals for milk, wool, and draft power was also an integral part of the settled agricultural system.[13]

2.4. Village Life and Settlement Patterns

Rural life in Ottoman Syria typically centered around villages (*köy*). Settlement patterns were often dictated by the availability of essential resources, primarily water sources like springs or rivers.[22] In mountainous terrains like parts of Kurd-Dagh, defensive considerations might have also influenced village location.[22] Villages generally consisted of houses built in close proximity, often constructed from local materials like clay or stone, featuring flat roofs that could sometimes serve as terraces for dwellings built higher up a slope.[21] Village layouts often included narrow streets converging on a small central common area, perhaps near a mosque.[22]

Social organization within these villages could vary. Kinship ties, often based on lineages, frequently played a significant role, with some villages corresponding closely to specific lineage groups.[21] However, other villages might comprise members of multiple lineages or include non-tribally affiliated families.[21] Communal resources, such as shared pasture lands, were common.[21] Local leadership often rested with figures like the village headman or *agha*, who might maintain a guest house for visitors and village meetings, receiving tribute from villagers in return.[21]

A notable feature of the Kurd-Dagh region, particularly when compared to other Kurdish-inhabited areas of the Ottoman Empire (like Eastern Anatolia or parts of the Jazira), appears to be the relative weakness of strong, overarching tribal confederations or structures, especially from the French Mandate period onwards, and likely extending back into the later Ottoman centuries.[2] While tribal identities existed (specific *esiret* like Amikan, Biyan, Sheikan, Shikakan, and Cums are historically associated with the area [2]), they seem not to have

dominated the socio-political landscape to the same extent as elsewhere. This relative lack of powerful, centralized tribal authority likely shaped the relationship between the settled peasantry and the Ottoman state. In regions dominated by strong tribal chiefs or emirs, these figures often acted as the primary intermediaries for taxation, conscription, and land control.[9] In Kurd-Dagh, the absence of such dominant intermediaries may have meant that individual villages, local notables, or perhaps religious figures like Sufi sheikhs [17] interacted more directly with Ottoman administrative officials or their agents, such as tax farmers. This could have resulted in different forms of social organization and economic pressure compared to tribally dominated regions.

3. The Nomadic Presence: Pastoralism and Mobility in Kurd-Dagh

3.1. Pastoralism in the Ottoman Context

Pastoral nomadism was an integral component of the Ottoman socio-economic landscape across its vast territories.[13] Nomadic and semi-nomadic groups fulfilled crucial economic roles, supplying vital animal products like meat, wool, hides, and dairy goods to villages and urban centers.[13] They also provided essential transportation services, utilizing camels and horses for trade caravans and military logistics.[13] The development of efficient mounted nomadism, using horses in the northern steppes and camels in the southern deserts, significantly increased the mobility, economic reach, and military potential of these groups from ancient times onward.[28] Ottoman society encompassed various forms of pastoralism, ranging from transhumance – the regular, seasonal movement of herds between fixed summer and winter pastures – to more extensive, less predictable nomadic patterns.[21]

3.2. Pastoralism in Kurd-Dagh

Within Kurd-Dagh, pastoral activities coexisted alongside the dominant agricultural sector. The region's geography included suitable grazing lands in the hills and uncultivated areas.[1] The primary animals raised by pastoral groups were likely sheep and goats, valued for their milk, meat, and wool.[13] Cattle, donkeys, mules, and horses were also present, utilized by both pastoralists and settled farmers for dairy, draft power, and transport.[13] Evidence from nearby Ottoman regions like Urfa points to significant sheep and horse breeding activities by both nomadic and settled groups.[23]

Assessing the scale and nature of pastoralism specific to Kurd-Dagh requires careful consideration. While historical Kurdish identity is often associated with pastoral traditions [21], and large Kurdish tribal confederations like the Reshwan and Milli operated in broader Northern Syria [3], their specific dominance *within* Kurd-Dagh throughout the entire Ottoman period is debatable, given the evidence suggesting weaker local tribal structures.[17] Smaller tribal groups or lineages, such as the Robariya, Kharzan, Kastiyan, Amikan, Biyan, Sheikan, Shikakan, and Cums, are more directly linked historically to the Kurd-Dagh area itself.[2] It is

plausible that the pastoralism practiced here was often a form of localized transhumance or integrated agro-pastoralism carried out by villagers themselves, rather than the large-scale, long-distance nomadism characteristic of powerful, independent tribal confederations found in the vast steppes of Anatolia or the Syrian desert.[9] This aligns with the concept of "multi-resource pastoral nomadism," where herding is combined with other economic activities, including small-scale farming.[30]

3.3. Interaction between Nomads and Settled Populations

The relationship between nomadic pastoralists and settled agriculturalists in the Ottoman world was multifaceted, involving both cooperation and conflict. A degree of economic symbiosis often existed: nomads required grain, vegetables, and manufactured goods (metalwork, pottery) from settled communities, while peasants benefited from access to animal products (meat, dairy, wool), manure for fertilizer, and pack animals for transport.[27] Nomads might also engage in trade or provide seasonal labor.[13] Aleppo, for example, relied on nomadic tribes for part of its meat supply.[29]

However, coexistence was frequently complicated by competition over resources, particularly land and water. Disputes over grazing rights versus cultivation rights were common.[23] Nomadic groups, with their mobility and often distinct social structures, could also pose challenges to state control and taxation efforts.[13] In some regions, particularly Eastern Anatolia, the practice of *kışlak* (winter quartering) became institutionalized.[29] This involved nomadic tribes spending the harsh winter months in or near peasant villages, often demanding shelter, fodder, and provisions. While sometimes based on reciprocal agreements or payments (*kışlakiye* tax), this practice frequently involved coercion, especially where nomadic tribes held significant political or military influence, placing a heavy burden on the sedentary population.[29]

The extent to which this specific, often coercive, *kışlak* system prevailed in Kurd-Dagh is unclear from the available evidence. The system described in Eastern Anatolia appears closely linked to the power of large nomadic tribes who could leverage their military utility to local rulers to enforce these arrangements.[29] Given the comparatively weaker tribal structures noted in Kurd-Dagh [17], it is possible that interactions between nomads and settled villagers were characterized more by localized exchanges, smaller-scale resource competition, or perhaps less formalized wintering arrangements, rather than a widespread, institutionalized system of dominance by powerful nomadic groups imposing themselves on the peasantry. This does not preclude conflict, as tensions over resources were inherent in the Ottoman rural landscape [14], but the power dynamics might have been more localized and less systematically skewed than in regions dominated by major tribal confederations.

4. Ottoman Rule and Rural Realities: Governance, Land, and Taxes

4.1. Ottoman Administration in Aleppo Vilayet

From its conquest in 1516 until the empire's dissolution after World War I, Kurd-Dagh was integrated into the Ottoman administrative system as part of the large and important Vilayet (Province) of Aleppo.[3] The standard Ottoman administrative hierarchy typically involved the Vilayet, subdivided into Sanjaks (districts), which were further divided into Kazas (sub-districts), Nahiye (communes), and finally villages.[1] Kurd-Dagh itself was sometimes referred to as a distinct Sanjak within the Aleppo Vilayet, reflecting its specific identity.[9] Ottoman governance generally aimed to maintain law and order, ensure the collection of taxes, and defend the frontiers, often relying on local intermediaries – such as religious leaders (ulema, Sufi sheikhs), guild masters in towns, and, where relevant, tribal chiefs or local notables (*ayan*) – to liaise between the state and the populace.[14]

4.2. Land Tenure

The theoretical basis of land tenure for much of the empire's agricultural land was the *miri* system, where ultimate ownership resided with the state (the Sultan), and peasants held hereditary usufruct rights – the right to cultivate the land and pass it to their heirs – in exchange for paying taxes.[14] The *çift-hane* system represented the idealized peasant family farm unit within this framework.[13] However, this system evolved significantly over the centuries. The *timar* system, which granted revenue collection rights from land to cavalrymen in return for military service, declined after the 16th century. Increasingly, the state resorted to *iltizam*, or tax farming.[14]

Under the *iltizam* system, the right to collect taxes from a particular area or source of revenue was auctioned off to the highest bidder, known as a *mültezim*.[15] These tax farmers paid a fixed sum to the state treasury and were entitled to keep the revenue they collected, incentivizing them to maximize extraction.[14] *Mültezims* could be state officials, military figures, wealthy urban merchants, or local notables, and sometimes included non-Muslim financiers.[37] While intended to ensure state revenue, *iltizam* often led to increased burdens on the peasantry, as tax farmers sought profit, sometimes exceeding legally stipulated rates.[14] Over time, some *mültezims* gained considerable local power, effectively acting like landowners.[14] This system, prevalent across the empire including Syria [14], likely impacted Kurd-Dagh, potentially exacerbating inequalities and contributing to rural instability by empowering tax farmers, who could be external agents or local strongmen, possibly at the expense of smallholding cultivators, especially in an area with less dominant traditional tribal leadership to mediate or resist.[14] The inherent short-term nature of early *iltizam* contracts (often annual auctions) could also discourage long-term agricultural investment.[36]

4.3. Taxation

The Ottoman tax structure was complex, combining elements of Islamic law with local customs and state requirements.[14] Key taxes affecting the rural population included:

- **Land Taxes:** Primarily the *ushr* (tithe), theoretically one-tenth of the agricultural produce, though actual rates could vary significantly by region and over time.[14]
- **Poll Tax:** The *jizya* (or *kharaj*) levied on non-Muslim adult males (*dhimmis*).[14]

- **Other Taxes:** Various customary dues and extraordinary levies (*avariz*) imposed for specific state needs, which became more frequent over time.[14] Personal taxes based on household status (e.g., *çift resmi*) were also common.[38]

Studies of Ottoman taxation in parts of Syria and Palestine reveal the practice of discriminatory tax rates, where different villages or different crops within the same district might be taxed at varying percentages.[39] Rates on grains, for instance, could range from 5% to as high as 50% in some areas during the 16th century.[39] Such discrimination, often inherited from previous regimes like the Mamluks and maintained by the Ottomans, could distort agricultural production choices as peasants might shift cultivation towards less heavily taxed crops.[39] While specific evidence for such systematic discrimination within Kurd-Dagh is lacking in the provided materials, it represents a known feature of Ottoman fiscal practice in the broader region. Historically, some Kurdish tribal leaders had secured tax exemptions in return for loyalty or military service, but these arrangements likely eroded during the 19th-century centralization reforms aimed at standardizing administration and increasing direct state revenue.[27]

4.4. State Policies: Sedentarization and Conscription

The Ottoman state, particularly from the 18th and 19th centuries onwards, pursued policies aimed at settling nomadic populations (*iskan*).[9] Nomads were often viewed as difficult to control, tax reliably, and conscript for the modernized army.[13] Sedentarization programs involved establishing new villages, sometimes populated by refugees from lost territories (like Caucasians), and encouraging or forcing nomadic groups to adopt agriculture.[13] Kurdish tribes were subject to these policies in various regions.[9]

Military conscription also became a significant factor impacting rural life, especially following the Tanzimat reforms and the creation of a modern, conscript-based army.[14] Previously, military service was often fulfilled through systems like the *timar* or by tribal levies. The introduction of universal male conscription (initially for Muslims, later extended) met with resistance across the empire, including among Kurds, and opposition to conscription fueled several uprisings.[27] Conscription removed young men from the agricultural and pastoral workforce, potentially straining rural households and economies.[44] The transition from earlier, more autonomous arrangements – where local Kurdish leaders might negotiate terms of service or taxation [25] – to the direct imposition of taxes and conscription by the centralizing 19th-century state represented a major shift in the relationship between the Ottoman government and the inhabitants of regions like Kurd-Dagh, likely causing significant social and economic disruption.[27]

5. Weighing the Evidence: Estimating the Balance Between Agriculture and Pastoralism

5.1. Challenges in Quantification

Determining a precise numerical balance between settled agriculturalists and pastoral nomads in Ottoman Afrin is fraught with difficulty due to the limitations of historical sources. As previously noted, Ottoman administrative records, including censuses, were not designed to capture occupational data in a way that allows for such a breakdown.[10] Censuses typically focused on identifying taxable units (adult males or households) or individuals liable for military service, often undercounting populations, particularly women, and struggling with accuracy in remote rural or tribal areas.[4] Available population figures for the broader Aleppo Vilayet (e.g., around 1.5 million in the late 19th century according to one estimate [4], or specific figures for ethnic/religious groups like Armenians [45]) do not provide the necessary granularity to isolate lifestyle breakdowns for the Kurd-Dagh *kaza* or *sancak*.

A potentially valuable source is Vital Cuinet's multi-volume work, *La Turquie d'Asie*, published in the 1890s.[12] This represents a significant attempt at compiling detailed administrative, geographic, and statistical information for each Ottoman province. Cuinet aimed to provide data on population, administrative divisions, agriculture, and economy.[12] His work may contain population estimates and agricultural production figures at the Vilayet or Sanjak level.[48] However, Cuinet himself acknowledged the immense difficulty in obtaining reliable statistics from Ottoman authorities, who were often reluctant to share such information.[12] His figures, therefore, while valuable, must be treated with caution regarding their accuracy and level of aggregation. It is unlikely that Cuinet provides a direct percentage split between agricultural and pastoral lifestyles specifically for the Kurd-Dagh region.

5.2. Qualitative Evidence Synthesis

Given the quantitative limitations, the estimation must rely primarily on synthesizing the qualitative evidence:

- **Evidence for Dominant Settled Agriculture:** The deep historical roots and vast scale of olive cultivation point strongly towards a long-term, majority investment in settled farming.[2] The region's fertile land further supports this.[1] The crucial economic linkage through the olive trade with Aleppo suggests a commercially oriented agrarian society integrated into wider markets.[17] Furthermore, the consistently noted relative weakness of large-scale tribal structures implies a socio-political environment less dominated by powerful nomadic confederations compared to other regions.[17]
- **Evidence for a Pastoral Presence:** The existence of suitable grazing lands is documented.[1] Pastoralism was a widespread and vital part of the broader Ottoman economy and Kurdish historical identity.[13] Symbiotic relationships (trade, manure) and potential conflicts (resource competition) between nomads and peasants were common features of Ottoman rural life.[29] Specific tribes, albeit perhaps smaller or less dominant than elsewhere, were historically associated with the Kurd-Dagh area.[2]

5.3. The Importance of Mixed Economies

A strict dichotomy between "farmer" and "nomad" likely oversimplifies the reality of Ottoman rural life. Many households probably engaged in *mixed economic strategies* to mitigate risk

and ensure subsistence.[13] Settled agricultural families commonly raised animals (sheep, goats, cattle, fowl) for milk, wool, eggs, manure, and occasional meat, supplementing their crop cultivation.[13] Conversely, some groups identified as nomadic or semi-nomadic might have practiced limited cultivation in their winter or summer pastures or engaged in seasonal agricultural labor.[23] This blurring of occupational lines makes precise categorization difficult and highlights the adaptability of rural populations.[23] The very difficulty in extracting clear percentages from historical records reflects this reality – Ottoman rural society was often organized around multi-activity households rather than specialized individual professions, and state records categorized people by tax or military status, not occupation.[10]

5.4. Estimation and Conclusion on Balance

Based on the preponderance of qualitative evidence, particularly the overwhelming significance of olive cultivation and the region's integration into Aleppo's market economy, it is reasonable to conclude that **settled agriculture was the primary lifeway for the majority of the population in Ottoman Afrin (Kurd-Dagh).**

While precise figures are unattainable, a reasoned estimation suggests that **likely 70-85% of the inhabitants derived their main livelihood directly or indirectly from settled agriculture and associated activities** (like olive oil processing and trade). This estimate reflects the weight of evidence pointing to a deeply rooted, commercially significant agrarian base.

Simultaneously, **pastoralism represented a significant minority lifeway, likely encompassing 15-30% of the population.** This segment would include those primarily engaged in transhumant herding, as well as settled villagers for whom animal husbandry constituted a major, though perhaps not exclusive, part of their economic activity within a mixed system.

It is crucial to reiterate that these categories were not rigid or mutually exclusive. Fluidity existed, with families adapting their strategies based on season, economic conditions, and environmental factors. Focusing solely on the agriculture-pastoralism axis also risks obscuring other vital aspects of the local economy and social life, such as local crafts (charcoal production is mentioned [1]), the important trade links with Aleppo [17], and the significant social and potentially economic role played by religious networks, particularly Sufi orders.[17]

Table 1: Evidence for Agricultural vs. Pastoral Lifeways in Ottoman Afrin

Evidence Type	Indicators for Settled Agriculture	Indicators for Pastoral Nomadism	Indicators for Mixed Economies	Source Snippets
Geography/ Environment	Fertile valleys (Cûmê plain), suitable climate for olives/crops	Hillside grazing lands, mountain pastures	Diverse landscape supporting both cultivation and grazing	[1]
Economic Activity	Dominance of olive cultivation	Sheep/goat herding, provision	Household animal husbandry by	[1]

(Primary)	(ancient, large-scale), olive oil production, grain/fruit/veg cultivation	of animal products (meat, wool, dairy), transport animals (camels)	farmers, limited cultivation by pastoralists, charcoal production	
Land Use/ Settlement	Dense network of permanent villages, long-term investment in olive groves	Transhumance patterns (seasonal movement), use of *kışlak* (winter quarters, extent debated)	Communal village pastures, farms incorporating space for animals	[2]
Social Structure	Village-based organization, local leadership (aghas), weaker overarching tribal structures	Presence of specific tribes/lineages (Amikan, Biyan, etc.), historical Kurdish association	Kinship-based village structures, Sufi networks connecting communities	[2]
External Relations (Trade/State)	Strong trade links with Aleppo (olive oil), integration into Vilayet administration, subject to taxation/iltizam	Supplying cities (Aleppo) with animal products, challenges to state control, sedentarization policies	Participation in Aleppo markets, interaction with Ottoman officials/tax farmers	[2]
Quantitative Clues (Limitations Noted)	Modern estimates of vast olive groves imply historical depth	Ottoman census data inadequate for lifestyle breakdown, Cuinet's statistics lack granularity	Difficulty quantifying reflects prevalence of multi-activity households	[4]

6. Glimpses of Daily Life: Social Fabric and Cultural Traditions

6.1. Social Hierarchy and Local Leadership

Ottoman society was broadly structured around distinctions between the ruling class (*askeri*) and the subject tax-paying population (*reaya*), and further divided into religious communities (*millets*) which handled many internal affairs.[34] Within the rural context of Kurd-Dagh, local leadership likely manifested through village headmen (*aghas*) or influential landowners.[21] Given the region's relatively weak overarching tribal structures compared to areas dominated

by powerful Kurdish emirates or confederations [2], religious figures, such as respected Sufi sheikhs associated with local *zawiyas* (lodges), may also have held significant social influence and served as community leaders or mediators.[17] This contrasts with regions like Baban or Bohtan in the 19th century, where powerful emirs commanded significant political and military authority before Ottoman centralization efforts.[26]

6.2. Family, Kinship, and Gender Roles

As in much of the rural Middle East during this period, the family unit was central to social and economic life. The typical structure was likely the patriarchal extended household, encompassing multiple generations living together or in close proximity.[21] Kinship ties and lineage affiliation played important roles in social organization and identity, influencing marriage patterns and community relations.[21] Some Kurdish traditions favored lineage endogamy, particularly marriage between paternal cousins, as a way to maintain lineage solidarity.[21] Marriage arrangements often involved negotiations between families and the payment of bride-wealth (*mahr*).[21]

The division of labor was strongly gendered. Men typically undertook tasks such as plowing, sowing, heavy harvesting, and engaging with the market or external authorities.[21] Women's responsibilities were extensive, including all domestic chores (food preparation, cleaning, childcare), processing dairy products (milking, making butter/yogurt), collecting essential resources like water and fuel (firewood, dried manure), spinning and weaving, and participating significantly in agricultural tasks like planting, weeding, and harvesting.[21]

6.3. Religious and Ethnic Diversity

The population of Ottoman Kurd-Dagh was predominantly Kurdish, speaking the Kurmanji dialect.[1] This gave the region a greater degree of ethnic homogeneity compared to other parts of northern Syria, like the Jazira, which had substantial Arab, Armenian, and Assyrian populations.[2]

Religiously, the majority adhered to Sunni Islam, likely following the Shafi'i school of jurisprudence, which is common among Kurds.[1] A defining characteristic was the strong influence of Sufism, with the Naqshbandi and Qadiri orders being prominent among Kurds generally.[17] Afrin, in particular, was noted as a center for a distinctive Sufi tradition, possibly characterized by greater tolerance or less rigid orthodoxy than found elsewhere.[54] Sufi *zawiyas* served not only as religious centers but also as important social hubs, connecting rural communities with urban centers like Aleppo and providing networks of support for migrants.[17]

Beyond the Sunni majority, Kurd-Dagh was historically home to significant religious minorities, notably Yazidis and Alevis.[1] Yazidi villages were concentrated particularly in the southern and eastern parts of the region.[5] Alevi communities, some with origins tracing back to refugees from Anatolia (like Dersim), were also present, particularly around towns like Ma'batli.[1] The historical presence of small Christian communities is also mentioned [54], though their numbers were likely small compared to the larger Christian populations in Aleppo city or other parts of

the Vilayet.[4] The persistence of these diverse religious groups suggests a local environment that, at least during certain periods, allowed for a degree of coexistence, shaped perhaps by the Sufi influence and the region's relative isolation or specific local power dynamics.

6.4. Cultural Practices

Cultural identity in Kurd-Dagh was expressed through language (Kurmanji), shared customs, and communal celebrations.[1] A cornerstone of Kurdish cultural life was the celebration of Newroz, the vernal equinox festival marking the New Year.[21] This ancient festival, recognized across various Iranic cultures, holds particular significance for Kurds, often associated with myths of liberation and renewal, such as the story of Kawa the Blacksmith defeating the tyrant Zuhak.[54] The lighting of bonfires is a central element of Newroz celebrations.[55] Other aspects of traditional life included customs surrounding hospitality, often centered around village guest houses [21], specific marriage practices [21], and communal mourning rituals.[21] Local crafts likely included weaving (carpets, textiles from wool) and potentially pottery, although specific details for Ottoman-era Afrin are scarce in the provided sources. Charcoal production, utilizing the region's wood resources, is noted as a local activity.[1] The combination of relative ethnic homogeneity with weaker overarching tribal structures suggests that local identity in Ottoman Afrin may have been strongly tied to village and community belonging, shared agricultural rhythms (especially the olive cycle), and participation in local religious and cultural life, rather than primarily defined by allegiance to large tribal confederations.

7. Conclusion: A Complex Tapestry of Life in Ottoman Afrin

7.1. Summary of Findings

The examination of available historical evidence paints a picture of Ottoman Afrin (Kurd-Dagh) as a region with a distinct character within the Vilayet of Aleppo. Its lifeways were fundamentally shaped by its highland geography, its deep-rooted Kurdish identity, and its agrarian economy. The analysis indicates that settled agriculture, overwhelmingly dominated by the ancient and economically vital cultivation of olives, formed the backbone of society for the majority of the population. This agricultural base was tightly interwoven with the economy of the provincial capital, Aleppo, through the trade in olive oil and other products. Alongside this dominant agrarian sector, pastoralism constituted a significant, though secondary, element of the regional economy. This likely involved localized transhumance and mixed agro-pastoral practices integrated into village life, rather than the large-scale, politically powerful nomadism seen in other parts of the Ottoman Empire. The relationship between settled cultivators and pastoralists was complex, likely involving elements of economic symbiosis alongside potential competition for resources.

7.2. Revisiting the Balance

While the limitations of Ottoman-era data preclude precise statistical certainty, the weight of

the evidence strongly supports the conclusion that settled agriculture was the predominant mode of life. A reasoned estimate places the proportion of the population primarily engaged in agriculture between 70% and 85%. Pastoralism, whether as a primary occupation or a major component of a mixed household economy, likely accounted for the remaining 15% to 30%. These figures should be understood as indicative rather than definitive, reflecting a reality where boundaries between lifestyles were often fluid and household economies frequently diversified.

7.3. Final Reflections

Ottoman Afrin emerges not simply as a land of farmers or herders, but as a complex tapestry woven from threads of intensive agriculture, localized pastoralism, strong community ties (perhaps compensating for weaker tribal structures), distinct cultural traditions like the celebration of Newroz, and a diverse religious landscape marked by Sunni Islam, influential Sufi networks, and persistent Yazidi and Alevi minorities. Its integration into the Ottoman administrative and economic system, particularly its connection to Aleppo, subjected it to broader imperial forces, including evolving land tenure practices like *iltizam*, taxation policies, and the disruptive impacts of 19th-century centralization and conscription. Understanding this intricate blend of local resilience and integration into the wider Ottoman world provides crucial context for the region's subsequent history, highlighting the deep historical roots of its cultural identity and its enduring connection to the land, particularly its ancient olive groves.

Works cited

1. Kurd Mountain - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurd_Mountain
2. Battle for the Mountain of the Kurds: Self-Determination and Ethnic Cleansing in Rojava, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.amazon.in/Battle-Mountain-Kurds-Self-Determination-Cleansing/dp/1629636517
3. Afrin Region - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Afrin_Region
4. Aleppo vilayet - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aleppo_vilayet
5. Justice for Afrin - Living Open Archive - ECCHR, accessed May 5, 2025, https://loa.ecchr.eu/dossiers/justice-for-afrin/
6. Withering Branch_ The Story of Afrin and its Peoples, Pre & Post Operation Olive Branch - Washington Kurdish Institute, accessed May 5, 2025, https://dckurd.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Withering-Branch_-The-Story-of-Afrin-and-its-Peoples-Pre-Post-Operation-Olive-Branch-_-Dominic-Brunaccioni.docx-3.pdf
7. Farming and Animal Husbandry - Musa Dagh - Houshamadyan, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.houshamadyan.org/mapottomanempire/vilayetaleppo/mousa-ler/economy/farming-and-animal-husbandry.html

8. Destroying Afrin: The Historical Roots of Turkey's Occupation - The Kurdish Center for Studies, accessed May 5, 2025, https://nlka.net/eng/destroying-afrin-the-historical-roots-of-turkeys-occupation/
9. Kurds in Syria - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Syria
10. The Ottoman Census System and Population, 1831-1914 Author(s): Stanford J. Shaw Source - PSI424, accessed May 5, 2025, https://psi424.cankaya.edu.tr/uploads/files/Shaw,%20Ott%20Census%20System%20and%20Pop,%201831-1914%20%281978%29.pdf
11. The Ottoman Empire, 1700–1922, accessed May 5, 2025, https://mkaymak.files.wordpress.com/2016/02/quataert_ottoman-economy.pdf
12. Cuinet, Vital (18..-19..). La Turquie d'Asie, géographie administrative : statistique, descriptive et raisonnée de chaque - WESTERN ARMENIA, accessed May 5, 2025, http://www.western-armenia.eu/archives-nationales/Question-Armenienne/Cuinet-V1.pdf
13. Economic history of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic_history_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
14. Syria - Ottoman Rule, Conflict, Refugees - Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Syria/Ottoman-period
15. Iltizām | Middle East, Ottoman Empire & Revenue | Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/iltizam-tax-system
16. Intimate agrarian experiences of the Syrian Economic Reform - Athimar.org, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.athimar.org/en/articles/details/intimate-agrarian-experiences-of-the-syrian-economic-reform
17. Kurdish Sufi Spaces of Rural-Urban. Connection in Northern Syria, accessed May 5, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/etudesrurales/9290?lang=en
18. Kurdish Sufi Spaces of Rural-Urban. Connection in Northern Syria - OpenEdition Journals, accessed May 5, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/etudesrurales/9290?lang=fr
19. A Tale of Afrin - Youth4Nature, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.youth4nature.org/stories/tale-afrin
20. The Stolen Olive Land: How Afrin Farmers' Struggles Turned into a Bitter Reality, accessed May 5, 2025, https://r-d-i.org/en/?p=2986
21. Kurds - Summary - eHRAF World Cultures, accessed May 5, 2025, https://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/cultures/MA11/summary
22. Syria - Urbanization, Agriculture, Deserts - Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Syria/Settlement-patterns
23. etheses.bham.ac.uk, accessed May 5, 2025, https://etheses.bham.ac.uk/7196/1/Usta17PhD.pdf
24. RURAL HABITATION IN SYRIA: THE CULTURE OF TRADITIONAL ARCHITECTURE AND ITS ROLE IN THE RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS, accessed May 5, 2025, https://jfa.arch.metu.edu.tr/uploads/docs/sayilar/sayi-33-2/metujfa2016206.pdf
25. THE KURDS | Ecoi.net, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.ecoi.net/en/file/local/1262738/90_1447760239_bfa-regiones-et-res-p

ublicae-the-kurds-2015.pdf
26. Three Stages of Political Transformation in the 19 th century Ottoman Kurdistan, accessed May 5, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/anatoli/602
27. The Fate of the Kurds - Origins: Current Events in Historical Perspective, accessed May 5, 2025, https://origins.osu.edu/article/kurds-stateless-turkey-syria-iraq-iran
28. Introduction (Chapter 1) - Nomads in the Middle East - Cambridge University Press, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/nomads-in-the-middle-east/introduction/B02C2A4466AEC64AFD09C8917A11EA67
29. Winter-Quartering Tribes: Nomad–Peasant Relations in the ..., accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-review-of-social-history/article/winterquartering-tribes-nomadpeasant-relations-in-the-northeastern-frontiers-of-the-ottoman-empire-1800s1850s/7443D5729920C9F9171E2D7179F69432
30. NOMADS, TRIBES, AND THE STATE IN THE ANCIENT NEAR EAST - The University of Chicago, accessed May 5, 2025, https://oi-idb-static.uchicago.edu/multimedia/1030/ois5.pdf
31. Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds
32. An Empty Land? Nomads and Property Administration in Hamidian Syria by Nora Elizabeth Barakat - eScholarship.org, accessed May 5, 2025, https://escholarship.org/content/qt3mk2f52r/qt3mk2f52r_noSplash_da8e3ee94243e61d5155e865d36e2766.pdf
33. Cuinet, Vital (18..-19..). La Turquie d'Asie, géographie administrative : statistique, descriptive et raisonnée de chaque - WESTERN ARMENIA, accessed May 5, 2025, http://www.western-armenia.eu/archives-nationales/Question-Armenienne/Cuinet-V2.pdf
34. Ottoman Empire - Classical Society, Administration, Reforms | Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Classical-Ottoman-society-and-administration
35. Social class in the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_class_in_the_Ottoman_Empire
36. Iltizam - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iltizam
37. Jewish entrepreneurs as seen in the ottoman tax-farming (İltizam) contracts (1560-1630) (with 7 documents) | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327838788_Jewish_entrepreneurs_as_seen_in_the_ottoman_tax-farming_Iltizam_contracts_1560-1630_with_7_documents
38. THE ECONOMICS OF OTTOMAN TAXATION - University of Connecticut, accessed May 5, 2025, https://media.economics.uconn.edu/working/2004-02.pdf
39. Taxes, Efficiency, and Redistribution: Discriminatory Taxation of Villages in Ottoman Palestine, Southern Syria and Transjordan in the Sixteenth Century | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed May 5, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222687118_Taxes_Efficiency_and_Redistribution_Discriminatory_Taxation_of_Villages_in_Ottoman_Palestine_Southern_Syria_and_Transjordan_in_the_Sixteenth_Century
40. Ottoman Taxation in Palestine, southern Syria, and Transjordan in the Sixteenth Century: the Determinants of Differential Tax - University of Connecticut, accessed May 5, 2025, https://media.economics.uconn.edu/working/2002-22R.pdf
41. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO To the Edge of the Desert: Caucasian Refugees, Civilization, and Settlement on the Ottoman Fr - eScholarship, accessed May 5, 2025, https://escholarship.org/content/qt6cv111x5/qt6cv111x5_noSplash_5672707b2e7d5fd5afb38d98eaf0c092.pdf
42. Syria - Ottoman Rule, Restoration, Conflict - Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Syria/Ottoman-rule-restored
43. Kurdish–Turkish conflict - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish%E2%80%93Turkish_conflict
44. WWI and the Middle East | National WWI Museum and Memorial, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.theworldwar.org/learn/educator-resource/wwi-and-middle-east
45. Aleppo / Halep Vilayet (Province) - Virtual Genocide Memorial, accessed May 5, 2025, https://virtual-genocide-memorial.de/region/ort-3/ort-33/
46. Charles Issawi The Fertile Crescent, 1800-1914 | PDF | Syria - Scribd, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/521173417/Charles-Issawi-The-Fertile-Crescent-1800-1914
47. La Turquie D'asie: Géographie Administrative, Statistique, Descriptive Et Raisonnée De Chaque Province De L'asie-Mineure; Volume 3 (French Edition): Cuinet, Vital: 9781020027352 - Amazon.com, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.amazon.com/Turquie-Dasie-Administrative-Statistique-Lasie-Mineure/dp/1020027355
48. La Turquie d'Asie: géographie administrative, statistique, descriptive et - Google Books, accessed May 5, 2025, https://books.google.com.fj/books?id=Vt4LAkn-1cQC&hl=fr&source=gbs_navlinks_s
49. La Turquie d'Asie: géographie administrative, statistique, descriptive et - Google Books, accessed May 5, 2025, https://books.google.com/books/about/La_Turquie_d_Asie.html?id=eCVBAQAAIAAJ
50. La Turquie d'Asie: géographie administrative, statistique, descriptive et - Google Libros, accessed May 5, 2025, https://books.google.com.ec/books?id=j_1VqHVhfq4C
51. the origins of cities - in dry-farming syria - and mesopotamia in the - Tell Leilan Project, accessed May 5, 2025, https://leilan.yale.edu/sites/default/files/publications/article-specific/the.origins.of_.cities-libre.pdf

52. (PDF) The governance structure of Turkish-controlled Afrin - ResearchGate, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371482361_The_governance_structure_of_Turkish-controlled_Afrin
53. Cultivating Chaos: Afrin after Operation Olive Branch - The Ceasefire Centre for Civilian Rights, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.ceasefire.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/CFR_Syria_EN_July20.pdf
54. Five Years of Hell & Evil: Turkish Occupied Afrin - The Kurdish Center for Studies, accessed May 5, 2025, https://nlka.net/eng/five-years-of-hell-evil-turkish-occupied-afrin/
55. Newroz from Kurdish and Persian Perspectives – A Comparative Study, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.ejecs.org/index.php/JECS/article/download/318/pdf/1618